

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKSWashington, D.C. 20231

www.ispro.gov

DATE MAILED: 03/31/2003

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/492,369	01/27/2000	Willliam E. Blaha		9790
75	90 03/31/2003			
Joel H. Bock Dorn, McEachran, Jambor & Keating 55 E. Monroe Street			EXAMINER	
			NGUYEN, TRUC T	
Suite 2940 Chicago, IL 60	0603		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		•	2833	······

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
n.	09/492,369	BLAHA, WILLLIAM E.				
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit				
	Truc T. T. Nguyen	2833				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 J	anuary 2003					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Thi	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application.	•	•				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray	vn from consideration.	•				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	、					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.		•				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accep						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	·	•				
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	ı)-(d) or (t).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		•				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	•					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	,	`				
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But *.See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e	e) (to a provisional application).				
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti						
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal I	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152) attachment				
S. Patent and Trademark Office						

Application/Control Number: 09/492,369

Art Unit: 2833

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

2. Claims 1-4 and 7-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Sowinski (US 6,135,805).

Regading claims 1-2 and 5, Sowinski discloses a wire connector (10) comprising:

a housing (15) define cavity (70) therein with openings (78);

an electrically conductive clip (red portion, see Examiner's attachment) having at least first and second retaining finger (14, 14) forming an insulation displacement type contact, each of which engages an individual conductor (80); and

a blade-type electrically conductive extension (blue portion, see Examiner's attachment) in shorting electrical engagement with the clip and extending through a

housing wall to an exterior of the housing, at least a portion of the extension on the exterior of the housing being electrically conductive.

Regarding claim 3, Sowinski discloses the housing includes two parts the base (72) and the cap (un-numbered, top surface of the housing 15).

Regarding claim 4, Sowinski discloses the conductive clip has a plurality of fingers (24) forming a push-in type connector.

Regarding claims 7 and 8, the method claims are deem inherent.

Sowinski discloses a method of electrically connecting two or more wire (80) to a common terminus (not shown, a terminal that engages to conductive extension 18), comprising the step of providing a push-in wire connector (10) having a conductive clip (12), providing a electrical connecting extension (18) electrically shorted to the clip and extending to the exterior of the housing, pushing the stripped end into the housing and into engagement with the clip, and attaching the extension to said terminus.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sowinski (US 6,135,805).

Art Unit: 2833

Sowinski substantially disclose the claimed invention except that the conductive

extension is a pig-tail.

It would have been obvious matter of design choice to change the Sowinski's conductive

extension to pig-tail shaped, since such a modification would have involved a mere change in the

shape of a component. A change in shape is generally recognized as being within the level of

ordinary skill in the art.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-8 have been considered but are moot in

view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Truc T. T. Nguyen whose telephone number is 703-306-4004.

The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Paula Bradley can be reached on 703-308-2319. The fax phone numbers for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7722 for regular

communications and for After Final communications.

T. Nguyen

March 24, 2003

